THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) and (b) The information is being collected and will be placed on the Table of the House.

Pending cases of allotment of quarters to wards of retired employees.

3469. SHRI RAM NARESH YADAV: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2065 given in the Rajya Sabha on 13th May, 1988 and state:

(a) the number of cases where retention order have not been issued so far:

(b) whether it is a fact that as per the recommendations of the 4th Pay Commission a retired Government servant can retain the Government accommodation on normal rent/medical ground for a period of 8 months; the number of cases where allotment was cancelled after two months of retirement of Government servant;

(c) the number of cases where normal rent for 8 months as well as market rent have been charged from the retired Government servant; and

(d) the reasons for charging the normal as well as market rent and the steps Government have taken to refund the same?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) Retention is not allowed to the allottees of other pools by this Directorate as the administration of other pools is not under the control of the Dte. of Estates.

(b) As per General Pool Allotment Rules, a retired Govt. servant can retain the General Pool quarter for a period of four months on normal rent. Further retention for another four months can be allowed on medical or educational grounds on payment of double the normal licence fee. This facility is not allowed to houseowners. Therefore the question of cancellation after two months of retirement does not arise.

(c) and (d) Under the rules there is no provision to charge both normal and market rent for the same period.

Allotment of Government accommodation to the wards of Central Government employees

3470. SHRI RAM NARESH YADAV: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 2202 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 30th March, 1990 and state:

(a) whether Government have since filed an appeal in the Supreme Court and if so, what are the details in this regard;

(b) whethetr it is a fact that on the 30th October, 1990, Delhi High Court had referred back one of the cases to the Directorate of Estates for reconsideration and if so, what steps Government have taken in this regard; and

(c) whether it is also a fact that retention orders are not being issued in such cases and if so, what are the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) The information is being collected and will laid on the Table of the Sabha.

3471. [*Transferred to the 18th December, 1991*].

Unauthorised Construction in Nanakpura

3472 SHRI N. E. BALARAM: Will the Minister of URBAN DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware that a car-scooter workshop is unauthorisedly operating in a public park near